

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Pandya et al.

Serial No.:

09/228,694

January 12, 1999

Group No.:

1752

Filed: For:

Examiner: S. Lee HYDROXYPHENYL COPOLYMERS AND PHOTORESISTS COMPRISING

SAME

NOTICE OF APPEAU FROM THE PRIMARY EXAMINED

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231			
	NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM THE PRIMARY EXAMINER TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES (37 C.F.R. 1.191)		
NOTE:	An appeal may be based on one rejection in a prior application and one rejection in a continuing application. Notice of Oct. 10, 1997, 62 F.R. 53131, at 53167.		
NOTE:	There is no requirement for a notice of appeal to: (1) be signed; or (2) identify the appealed claims. Notice of Oct, 10, 1997, 62 F.R. 53131, at 53167.		
	Applicant hereby appeals to the Board from the decision of the Primary Examiner, mailed March 29, 2001, finally rejecting 1-34.		
	The item(s) checked below are appropriate:		
1.	STATUS OF APPLICANT		
•	This application is on behalf of		

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

Х deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Date: .	October 1,	2001
---------	------------	------

10/10/2001 AMDNDAF1 00000097 09228694

01 FC:119 02 FC:117 320.00 OP 920.00 OP **FACSIMILE**

transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.

Peter F. Corless

(type or print name of person certifying)

(Notice of Appeal from the Primary Examiner to Board—page 1 of 3)

	•	[X] []	other than a small entity a small entity. A statement: [] is attached. [] was already file					
2.	FEE FO	OR FILI	NG NOTICE OF APPEA	AL				
	Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.17(b), the fee for filing the Appeal Brief is:							
		[]	small entity		\$160.00			
		[X]	other than a small entity	у	\$320.00			
	Notice	of Appe	al fee due \$320.00)	-			
3.	EXTENSION OF TERM							
NOTE:	E: The time periods set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.191 are subject to the provision of § 1.136 for patent applications. 37 1.191(d). (But see 37 C.F.R. 1.645 for extension of time in interference proceedings and 37 C.F.R. 1.550(c) for exof time in reexamination proceedings).							
	(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)							
	The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R.1.136 apply.							
	(a) [X] Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months checked below:							
	Extension (months)		ion	Fee for	other than	Fee for		
			_	small er	-	small entity		
		[]	one month	\$110.00		\$55.00		
			two months	\$400.00		\$200.00		
		[X] []	three months four months	\$920.00 \$1,440.0		\$460.00 \$72000		
				Fee \$ _	920.00	_		
If an ad	ditional	extensio	on of time is required, ple	ease consi	der this a petitio	n therefor.		
					-			
			(check and comple	eie ine nex	і нет, у аррисс	iote)		
	(a)					ly been secured, and the fee paid fee due for the total months of		
	Extensi	on fee d	ue with this request \$	1,240.00)			

(Notice of Appeal from the Primary Examiner to Board—page 2 of 3)

	(b)	[] Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.					
4.	TOTAL FEE DUE						
The to	al fee du	ne is:					
	Notice of Appeal fee \$320.00 Extension fee (if any) \$920.00 TOTAL FEE DUE \$1,240.00						
5.	FEE PAYMENT						
	[X] [] A dupl	Attached is a check in the sum of \$1,240.00 Charge Account No the sum of \$ icate of this transmittal is attached.					
6.	FEE DEFICIENCY						
NOTE:	If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in resuming the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked See the Notice of April 7, 1986, 1065 O.G 31-33.						
	[X]	If any additional extension and/or fee is required, this is a request therefor and to charge count No. 04-1105.					
		AND/OR					
	[X]	If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No04-1105					

Peter F. Corless
(type or print name of practitioner)

Dike, Bronstein, Roberts & Cushman Intellectual Property Practice Group EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP P.O. Box 9169
P.O. Address

Boston, Massachusetts 02209

Tel. No. (617) 439-4444

Customer No.